

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/938,551	08/27/2001	Hiroshi Kobayashi	011037 1605		
23850 7	7590 06/29/2004		EXAMINER		
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			CADUGAN, ERICA E		
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			3722		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	, ,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					l			
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/938,55	1	KOBAYASHI, HIR	OSHI			
٥	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Erica E Ca	_	3722				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNITIES on time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication. )) days, a reply within the statu tutory period will apply and wil will. by statute. cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>I.</i> mmunication.			
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>27 August 2001</u> .						
· <u> </u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from cor						
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) ction to the drawing(s) be the correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
- 12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the priority of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the prior	documents have been documents have been of the priority docume nal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date 2, 4.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	D-152)			

Art Unit: 3722

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains legal phrasing such as "means" or "said". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-3 are replete with instances that do not particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of applicant's invention. Examples of these instances are listed below, but these instances are not limited to the listed examples. Applicant is advised to closely review the claims for other occurrences.

There are several positively recited limitations that lack sufficient antecedent bases in the claims. Examples of this are: "the die" in claim 1, line 4; "the upper cartridge" in claim 1, line 5; "the front and back" in claim 2, lines 2-3; "the moving-in and moving out direction" in claim 2; "the front and back cartridges" in claim 2; "the cartridge" in claim 3, line 2 (plural cartridges previously set forth); "the transferring and engaging member" in claim 3, lines 2-3; "the transferring and loading path" in claim 3 (plural previously set forth); "the transferring path of the engaging and disengaging member" in claim 3; etc. This is not meant to be an all-inclusive

Art Unit: 3722

list of such occurrences. Applicant is required to review the claims and correct any other such occurrences of limitations lacking sufficient antecedent basis.

4. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

An example of such idiomatic terminology is "a cartridge transferring and loading means for enabling the storing and the discharging of the upper and lower cartridges independently to the inside and outside of the press". Note that it is particularly unclear as claimed what actions are occurring "independently", and in what way such independent action relates to the "inside and outside" of the press as claimed.

Another example of such idiomatic terminology is found in claim 3 as a whole. For example, "engaged to the cartridge freely engaging and disengaging..." is idiomatic and unclear. Additionally, it is unclear to what the limitation "and the transferring and loading path" in claim 3, line 4, relates, i.e., what about the "transferring and loading path"?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by JP-2000-351028 ('028).

Art Unit: 3722

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

'028 teaches a punch press having upper 7 and lower tool cartridges, and further teaches a device for exchanging the tools between an external magazine (labeled 5 in Figure 1) and the press, and also shows that tool cartridges are held at plural positions within the press (see Figures 1-3). Thus, as best understood, the exchange device enables "the storing and the discharging of the upper and lower cartridges independently to the inside and outside of the press frame" as set forth in claim 1, and also it would thus appear that the "loading path" (see Figures 1-2) "can store at least two cartridges" as claimed in claim 2.

Additionally, regarding claim 3, it appears that the transferring path wherein the cartridges are engaged by a transferring device is in the form of a "straight line" (see Figures 1-2).

7. Claims 1-3, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,342,276 to Fujiwara et al. ('276).

'276 teaches a punch press (see title) 1 (see Figure 1) having a frame 3, wherein a plurality of die bases or "cartridges" 91 mounting thereon punches P (to be attached to the upper portion 5 of the turret 9) or die bases or "cartridges" 227 mounting thereon dies D (to be attached to lower portion 7 of the turret 9) are exchangeably attached to the turret 9 (see Figure 1, col. 4, lines 21-37, Figure 6, and col. 9, lines 35-36, and Figure 7 and col. 6, lines for example). The press includes a striker or "ram" for driving the punches (col. 4, lines 34-37).

Art Unit: 3722

Note that position 35 is the tool exchanging position, wherein an automatic die exchange device 37 performs the exchange (Figure 1, col. 5, lines 2-12, for example).

Note that it appears that the exchange device enables the "storing and the discharging of the upper and lower cartridges independently to the inside and outside of the press" as claimed, i.e., the die set storage area 27 is external relative to the frame 3, and the cartridge exchanging device enables the upper and lower tools to be stored and discharged either "outside" the press frame (at 27) or "inside" the press frame (noting that the turnet 9 has a "storage" or non-punching position in which tools are held, see Figure 1) independently of one another (i.e., outside or inside independently, as best understood).

Regarding claim 2, note that, as best understood, at least two cartridges are stored in the turret 9 (see Figure 1).

Regarding claim 3, note that the transfer occurs along a straight-lined path (see figure 1, for example)

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

## Faxing of Responses to Office Actions and Contact Information

9. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner

Art Unit: 3722

and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erica Cadugan whose telephone number is (703) 308-6395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and every other Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A.L. Wellington can be reached at (703) 308-2159. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erica E Cadugan Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3722

eec

June 24, 2004